

Now it is admitted in the medical literature that other viruses can cause polio, yet few people on the street have any idea.

Prior to 1954, the following undoubtedly hid behind the name "poliomyelitis": Transverse Myelitis, viral


polio. During the great epidemics in the United States, the pathology called polio was reversed by alternative medical doctors who attested to great success, using detoxification procedures available at the time - yet they were categorically ignored[3].

- An Interview With Research Immunologist Tetyana Obukhanych PhD, part 2
- Whooping Cough Treatment: What doctors don't know. By Hilary Butler
- Interview with PhD Immunologist, Dr Tetyana Obukhanych- part 1, by **Catherine Frompovich**
- CDC and Friends Sprinting Towards the

or "aseptic" meningitis, Guillain-Barre Syndrome (GBS)- (what Franklin Delano Roosevelt had)[4], Chinese Paralytic syndrome, Chronic Fatigue Syndrome, epidemic cholera, cholera morbus, spinal meningitis, spinal apoplexy, inhibitory palsy, intermittent fever, famine fever, worm fever, bilious remittent fever, ergotism, post-polio syndrome, acute flaccid paralysis(AFP).

Included under the umbrella term "Acute Flaccid Paralysis" are Poliomyelitis, Transverse Myelitis, Guillain-Barré syndrome, enteroviral encephalopathy, traumatic neuritis, Reye's syndrome etc.

Before you believe that polio has been eradicated, have a look at this graph of AFP and Polio. If you are wondering why there is no data prior to 1996, go to the WHO website for AFP and you will see that there is no data prior to 1996, and note that AFP conitnues to rise in 2011. Acute Flaccid Paralysis (AFP) is just another name for what would have been called polio in 1955, and is used to describe a sudden onset of paralysis. It is the most common sign of acute polio, and used for surveillance during polio outbreaks. AFP is also associated with a number of other pathogenic agents including enteroviruses, echoviruses, and adenoviruses, among others. But in 1955, there was no attempt to detect anything other than polio in cases of AFP. Once the vaccine was mass marketed, the game changed.



When people ask me where all the children on iron lungs are, I would answer that they should ask Dr. Douglas Kerr from Johns Hopkins, who stated on pg. xv in the Forward to Donna Jackson Nakazawa's book "The Autoimmune Epidemic"...

"Infants as young as five months old can get Transverse Myelitis, and some are left permanently paralyzed and dependent upon a ventilator to breathe... my colleagues at the Johns Hopkins Hospital and I hear about or treat hundreds of new cases every year."

Does the public have any idea that there are hundreds of cases of something that would once have been called polio, and some of those children will be dependent on a modern version of the iron lung? No. Parents today think that the Salk vaccine eliminated any need for ventilators, because the pictures of all these children on iron lungs are no longer paraded in front of people in order to create fear. Besides which, today's "iron lungs" don't look like a prototype submarine. They are barely recognizable as today's "ventilators."

The polio vaccine had the fastest licensing in FDA history. It was approved for commercial production after only a two-hour deliberation amongst the Licensing Committee, in a pressured environment. These scientists witnessed a vaccine that was escorted to market, before academic and community doctors had a chance to read any published reports on the safety studies, and before the results of the big polio vaccine trial made it into any medical journal. If these scientists had had more say, it is likely that the "Cutter" disaster and the "Wyeth problem," both events that led to crippling or death of vaccine recipients just weeks following the hurried vaccine licensing – could have been averted.

"Previously it [the vaccine] had been distributed as an experimental product, not a licensed product...the committee was asked to come to a decision very quickly...there was discussion of the report that Dr Francis had given, but we were not in a position to discuss it very intensively because we had not seen the report prior to this morning and the report was distributed to us after the presentation...we were pressured in the sense that we were told that speed was essential, and when we came up toward the 5:00 time, some of us felt we would like to discuss

Polio "Finish Line," by Suzanne Humphries, MD			
Translator			
Donate Donate			

Tags

A. Majid Katme AH1N1 aluminum autism Butler flu flu vaccine flu vaccine risks Gardasil Garv Null Guillain-Barre Syndrome H1N1 Harold Buttram hepatitis B Hilary HPV Humphries immunology influenza Islam Joseph Mercola Len Horowitz Len Saputo Leonard Horowitz Mayer Eisenstein mercury Muslim Narcolepsy Nick Haas Pertussis Recorded webinars risks Sherri Tenpenny Suzanne **Suzanne Humphries** Swine Flu vaccination vaccine vaccine damage vaccine risks vaccines Vaccines: Get the Full Story vitamin c Whooping Cough

William Douglass

this matter more. We were told that to discuss the matter further it would have to go into the following week, and we would have to go to Washington or Bethesda and most of the members were unwilling to do so. We were in effect pressured into an earlier decision than we ordinarily would have made. ...It was part of the pressure of events, put it that way.[5]"

And that is only the beginning of the polio story, the likes of which currently serve as the foundation of modern belief in vaccination, even by those who may have doubts regarding current vaccine policy.

No vaccines are safe. Having "efficacy" means an antibody response is generated, not that they keep you from getting sick. There are many other ways to keep children healthy other than injecting them with disease matter, chemicals, animal DNA, animal proteins, detergents and surfactants that inflame and weaken the blood brain barrier, potentially causing inflammation and other problems.

Do you know how much doctors learn about vaccines in medical school? When we participate in pediatrics training, we learn that vaccines need to be given on schedule. We learn that smallpox and polio were eliminated by vaccines. We learn that there's no need to know how to treat diphtheria, because we won't see it again anyway. We are indoctrinated with the mantra that "vaccines are safe and effective" – neither of which is true.

Doctors today are given extensive training on how to talk to "hesitant" parents – how to frighten them by vastly inflating the risks during natural infection. They are trained on the necessity of twisting parents' arms to conform, or fire them from their practices. Doctors are trained that NOTHING bad should be said about any vaccine, period.

Historically it has been commonplace, since the times of the deadly smallpox vaccines - to discourage or silence scholarly, thoughtful and cautious opposition to mass vaccination policies. This is politics, plain and simple, in the environment of cronyism and corporatism that has invaded the supposed health-care industry.

The opinions of learned anti-vaccinationist doctors are not permitted on CNN, Fox News, or in mainstream literature. Probably because if they were broadcast on such media outlets, the unsuspecting public would do an about-face. Instead, the publicity that mainstream media concedes, often involves a parent who is opposed to vaccination, after a child becomes vaccine-injured, matched up with a celebrity talking-head doctor. Dr. Stork had an all-out tantrum after JB Handley got some sense interjected (from the audience!) during Jenny McCarthy's invite (video).



For now, let's just ignore Dr. Sears' utter delusion over the history of vaccination and the decline in infectious disease. Having JB Handley on the program with the audience clapping for him, without editing him, was an unusual event. The standard approach on commercial television is to pretend that there is no anti-vaccinationist doctor to match the celebrity doctor, or those of the Paul Offit genre. Therefore, they can only invite and publicly defeat those whom they underestimate. Cheers to JB for getting an edge in. This is simply how the game of vaccination has always been played; keep the opinions of thoughtful and informed doctors and scientists out of the way of the cameras and peer-reviewed journals, and only allow the anti-vaccine perspective limited representation.

	ve doubts on the safety and effectiveness of vaccination, please keep your curiosity up, since of your children may depend on it. You will probably have much deprogramming to do, just of us.			
International Medical Council on Vaccination www.vaccinationcouncil.org				
learings	nent from Clinton R. Miller, Intensive Immunization Programs, May 15th and 16th, 1962. before the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce House of Representatives, 87th second session on H.R. 10541.			
2] Meier,	P. 1978. "The biggest public health experiment ever: The 1954 trial of the Salk poliomyelitis			
accine." Jay.	Statistics: A Guide to the Unknown, Ed. J. M. Tanur, el al., pp. 3-15. San Francisco: Holden			
-	y, R. 1952. "The poison cause of poliomyelitis and obstructions to its investigation." Arch. April;69(4):172-93.			
4] Goldm .1:233–2	nan.2003."What was the cause of Franklin Delano Roosevelt's paralytic illness?" J Med Biog, 40.			
	ng brief of Defendant and Appellant Cutter Laboratories Gottsdanker v. Cutter Laboratories 32 Cal. App.2d 602 pp. 31–33.			
f Share	Tweet Email ShareThis Like 1.8k 2 +1 Pinterest			

6 Comments				
Ursula Posted November 17, 2011 at 11:46 PM	Reply			
Cheers for J. B. Handley. Wow, that anger coming out of that doctor Stork that re s at. Don't interfere with his MONEY making! Vaccines are what makes these do don't make anybody healthier, or prevent anything at all.				
cher Posted December 24, 2011 at 1:46 PM Autism rate for the Amish communities, 0 in 100. Rates for the rest of the na	Reply			
Lucy Brenton Posted November 18, 2011 at 6:30 AM	Reply			
t is interesting to me how hatefully the Dr. on the stage reacted. He says, "All yountagonizing the medical community who wants to help these kids!" OK, so if you children, let's start by using logic. If you put noxious substances into their little lenoxious results. It seems logical that if you give a child clean water, fresh air and chemicals and pesticides (even if not "organic") they will be healthier than a child following Vaccine Ingredients: DPT diptheria bacterium, pertussis organisms, teta chemicale, sodium hydroxide, formaldehyde, hydrochloric acid, aluminium and me o the CDC website showing current vaccine ingredients:	bu want to help bodies, you will get d food free from d that receives the anus toxoid, sodium			
http://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/pubs/pinkbook/downloa A quick google of each of the ingredients vields tons of scientific data on the neurotoxicity of many of the ingredients, the warning AGAINST				

ingestion or contact with many of the other ingredients, and the documented side effects of contact

with each of these ingredients.

Therefore, simply logic....garbage in, garbage out. Bad chemicals like mercury (thimerasol), aluminum, Amorphous Aluminum Hydroxyphosphate Sulfate, Formaldehyde or Formalin, Monosodium Glutamate (not just for chinese restaurants, anymore! Why is a flavor enhancer in a vaccine?) These are just a smidgeon of the vaccine ingredients which even the CDC publishes on their website. How can anyone expect good health to result from injection of any of these ingredients by themselves, let alone the cumulative effect of 3, 4 or 5 of these vaccines in a single doctor visit for a 2 month old baby?

Or, if we support our miraculous bodies and immune systems, strengthening them by eating good food (vegetables, not doritos!), drinking pure water, access to fresh air and sunshine...which scenario has the best likelihood for the best outcome?

It is a very simple equation, when you do the math. Each new vaccine offers BILLIONS of dollars in market share, with ZERO product liability. The Supreme Court of the United States has ruled that even if the vaccine manufacturer knew a safer way to manufacturer, not only do they not have to do so, but they have zero product liability, regardless. In fact, due to special interest groups and lobbyists, it is the American taxpayer who foots the bill. The National Vaccine Injury Compensation Act was put in place to receive the product liability claims of vaccine injured people and children. Therefore, if you or your loved one are injured by the vaccine, the manufacturer doesn't pay even one penny! The burden for the health care costs are born by all of us in the form of higher premiums for private pay and higher taxes to cover the medicaid burden of the one out of 90 children who now have autism.

Further math: the Gardasil vaccine costs \$107 per dose, 3 dose series. Plus the doctor visits to receive it, of course. So, roughly, \$600 coming in to the manufacturer and the administering doctor. Search youtube for Gardasil reactions or gardasil deaths and prepare to be shocked at the number of girls harmed by this vaccine for cervical cancer...which is now recommended for boys, who have no cervix. Brilliant. NONE of these girls, even the ones who died from Gardasil, can sue the manufacturer. The manufacturer (Merck) gets a complete pass, while you and I pay for the long term care and disability payments for these children and their families.

I ask you, if Firestone manufacturers a tire which is unsafe and people are hurt or killed, can you sue them? YES! And when they lose money in the lawsuit, is that not an incentive for them to produce a better product? YES! Not so with vaccines.

By the way, no one is required to get vaccines, even to go to school. Every state has an exemption, whether medical, philosophical or religious. The Supreme Court has ruled that you don't need to belong to any particular religion to use this exemption. I have nine children, none of them have ever received even one vaccine. Never. Yet all who are old enough have attended elementary, middle, high school and college as they have grown. If you don't know your rights, you don't have any.

Fight back. Your life depends on it!

Amanda Posted November 19, 2011 at 3:54 AM Reply

"By the way, no one is required to get vaccines, even to go to school. Every state has an exemption, whether medical, philosophical or religious."

So how does one get a medical exemption in a state that doesn't allow philosophical or religious if you can't find a doctor willing to admit vaccines have ALREADY caused harm to your child? Or if you haven't had any vaccines to find out if they should be medically exempted?



Larisa Cox Posted November 30, 2011 at 1:01 PM Reply

Lucy, i love your post! i just friended you on fb, if you don't mind. i have 3 month old but planning to have more children (3+). so, i might have some questions!

🤔 irritated Posted December 1, 2011 at 10:33 PM

Reply

Just to add to your Gardasil comment, Gardasil is suppose to prevent the spread of HPV and supposedly the strains that cause cervical cancer-however-I would like to know how they can say that administering Gardasil to males is effectively reducing the spread of HPV that causes cervical cancer by decreasing male to female transmission when there is NO TEST for HPV in males unless they have Genital warts which is a different strain than the strain that causes cervical cancer-but I'm sure this won't be the last STD we develop a vaccine for-who needs to teach kids safe sex or to abstain-just shoot them up with a vaccine-it's ok if they develop a false sense of safety and not even think about condoms b/c -hey...I was vaccinated !!!

Valerie Posted November 18, 2011 at 11:31 AM

Reply

A huge thank you to Dr. Suzanne Humphries for putting herself out there and sharing some amazingly good information/research. And Dr. Stork throwing a fit is just icing on the cake. Thanks for that!



Posted November 18, 2011 at 11:44 AM

Reply

Whenever I see an "MD" saying that they are unable to distinguish conditions like chronic fatigue, bacterial meningitis and epidemic cholera from paralytic poliomyelitis, I wonder why the entry qualifications for medschool entry have dropped lower than those for burger flipping.



훩 Dr Suzanne Posted November 18, 2011 at 11:53 AM

Hey dingo. Nobody says they can't distinguish. But before the polio vaccine doctors weren't required to distinguish. It was recommended to report anything that looked like poliomyelitis as polio. Get it??



puameliaclinic Posted November 18, 2011 at 1:04 PM Reply

Reply

Plus they keep changing the criteria for diagnoses. I myself from medicinal chemistry school that you were taught that drugs were good and VERY rarely told about the harm they do. I'll always remember being told that the "drugs hijack the body's receptors" I know honesty. I did an immunology class and we were taught suprisingly little on jabs.

I am so glad I suddenly queried jabs myself right in the middle of my training, turns out I was right.

J xxxxxxx

Tracy Posted November 18, 2011 at 2:53 PM

Reply

Reply


Thankyou for this.. lve been looking for a considered rebuf to my 72 year old mother who insists she saw the death of polio due to vaccines!!!





Dr. Suzanne,

Thanks for telling the facts surrounding polio, in particular the role that toxins played in it, and what's going on to hide it's re-appearance in Third World countries where children are vaccinated against it and still getting polio-probably from the vaccine.

However, there is another even more sinister problem with the polio vaccine(s) that millions of








One more thing June. The change in treatment on paralysis may have also had an impact on what you saw. Earlier in polio epidmeics, limbs were casted and immobilized. Later it was found that doing that actually cemented the paralysis in place and that heating and mobilizing and stretching, massaging the limbs led to better recovery. Sister Kenny was a pioneer in this change

and came to the USA and was warmly welcomed, after being shunned in her native country. There was a lot shifting and changing and more than just a vaccine was happening. And what epidemic can you expect to be wiped out in one year of vaccination? We know that polio vaccine in 1955 was not a powerful and long lasting vaccine and that many children lost immunity after one year and some never mounted an immune response at all.

	Dr
0.00	
0.000	Deed

Suzanne Posted March 1, 2012 at 6:29 PM Reply

Dear June. The key in your comment is that in 1966 only a handful of children were admitted with a DIAGNOSIS of polio. As for your anecdotal experience, I cannot comment upon that as far as quadraplegia is concerned. The history of polio is complicated and what happened with the diagnosing is too. The total cases did go down because they changed how polio was diagnosed and cut out over half of the cases that otherwise would have been called polio. The vaccine in 1955 was full of live polio virus and this actually caused the paralytic incidence to rise within the greater statistics of falling polio diagnoses. It is all documented in the PSU reports and I will be revealing all that information in due time. What you may know about the Cutter incident is only a small part of the bigger picture of what happened under the radar. Later, it was revealed that Wyeth had much the same issue but I was covered up by Alexander Langmuir. Thanks for your comment.



Leave a Reply

Logged in as admin4. Log out?

Comment

You may use these HTML tags and attributes: <abbr title=""> <acronym title=""> <blockquote cite=""> <cite> <code> <del datetime=""> <i> <q cite=""> <strike>

Post Comment

□ Notify me of follow-up comments by email.

Notify me of new posts by email.

International Medical Council on Vaccination © 2010. All rights reserved. Disclaimer

About Arras WordPress Theme

Switch to our mobile site

8